G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1916-11
(S & A)

File No. 570-10

Serial Nos. 3.

(5)

Papers Filed.

Month April 1912

SUBJECT.

Prayer for the reconsideration of orders passed in the case of Padmavati Ba claiment of the Pallegar Pension of Bagalu family.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
SDM. 570-10 SRS. 102. B - July 1911	SDM. 52-11 SRS 102

H. Nagesha
17.6.12

G.R.S. 11] 1910-11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(S & A)

NOTES.

File No. 570-4

FILE HEADING.

Pattegar Pension of Bagalur Family

From

No.	Dated	Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First Sheet.

P. 7.0.

GPB—30,000—12-8-11

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

SRA File No. 570 of 1910

Serial No. 3

From Padmavathi Bai, ~~widow~~ ~~illegal wife~~ of late B. J. Ramaswami Naik, Pallegar Pensioner of Balalur Family. Petn. dt 24-8-12

Prays for the reconsideration of order passed in her case declining to grant a Stipend out of the family pension.

Dewan's note on the petition

"No answer"

(sd) D.A.R. 29-3-XII.

The petitioner's prayer was rejected in this office endorsement No H. 298 dt 19-7-12 SRA. 570-10 on the ground that the ~~dec~~ petitioner was not the deceased's lawfully wedded ~~wife~~ wife

This may be simply filed without orders

Le 2574

Mul-SD 25-4-XII

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—20-1-12

DIARY No. 3815

1911-1912

SECTION 5th

1910

FILE No. 570-10

No. 3622 SUPPL. No. 3

Name of Petitioner— Padmavati Bayi

Village— Bangalore

Taluk— do

District— do

Date of Arzi— 24th August

Date of Receipt—

Date of Disposal—

No. of Enclosure—

ABSTRACT.

Prays for a reconsideration of the orders of Govt declining to grant a stipend to her, out of the pension enjoyed by the

+

No answer

25 "March 12

Pol Pen

Hon. Tdn

deceased Palyegar
B/ Ramanuja-
-ma- Naik - (Peta
is has kept bride
and has a son
also)

BR
20.9.11.

To,

The Dewan of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

The petition of Padmavathi Bai with her infant son Govardhan Naik, most respectfully showeth:

That the petitioners submitted to your honour a petition dated 16th January 1911 praying for an allowance for sustenance out of the stipend left vacant by the deceased B. J. Ram swamy Naik, a Pollygar.

That in reply thereto the petitioners are informed by a letter No.298/Sdh. 570-10, dated 19th July 1911, that Government cannot intervene in their case and grant any portion of the stipend to either the mother or the infant son as it does not appear that she was deceased's lawfully weded wife.

That the petitioners implore/ your honour's generous attention to the records and pedigree of the Pollygars mentioned at the foot of this humble petition, which may convince your honor and substantiate that the Government intervened in the identical cases and granted illegitimate sons devolution of their - putative fathers' entire stipends and these stipends are even now current in the families of these illegitimate ofsprings.

That the petitioners beg to state that they are unprovided for and have nothing to subsist on and educate this infant son who is about to complete his age of three years.

That the petitioners therefore pray/ for your benign consideration

(Padmavathi bai)

consideration towards this poor case and relieve them from the infesting distress by according them such portion of the stipend of the deceased as your honour deems reasonable and lawful for the maintenance.

For this act of benevolence the petitioners feel grateful.

Padmavathibai
11th cross road
Mallaswaram
Bangalore

Bangalore.
24th August 1911.

Illegitimate Families.

1. Chikkamanju Naik, 2. Doddamanju Naik or Dodda-Krishnappa Naik, drawing stipends Rs.34/- and 24/- respectively, 3 & 4 Devappa Naik and Linganna Naik, drawing stipends Rs.48/- and 103/- respectively

One and two are of the Mangarabad family
Three and four are of the Chitaldurg family